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Pyrazolo $\left[1^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}: 1,6\right]$ pyrimido $[4,5-d]$ pyridazin- $4(3 H)$-ones and their analogues, potentially useful for the treatment of erectile dysfunction, were synthesized and evaluated as inhibitors of phosphodiesterase 5 (PDE5). Several compounds showed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in the low nanomolar range, and in particular, compound $\mathbf{5 r}$, displaying high potency toward PDE5 $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.3 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and high selectivity versus PDE6 (240-fold) appeared to be a very promising new lead both in comparison with the potent but not selective sildenafil and in comparison with some analogues previously reported by us. SAR studies in this triheterocyclic scaffold led us to conclude that the best arranged groups are a methyl in position 1, a benzyl in position 3, a phenyl in position 9, and a linear four-carbon chain in position 6.

## Introduction

Erectile dysfunction (ED) is a very common pathology that affects millions of men worldwide, and it appears to be directly proportional to aging. ${ }^{1,2}$ The most important epidemiological studies in this field from the Massachusetts Male Aging Study (MMAS) demonstrated that about one-third of men over 40 suffer from moderate to complete ED. ${ }^{3}$ Recent studies suggest that ED, rather than being an inevitable consequence of aging, depends not only on a series of elements such as heart disease, hypertension, diabetes, and psychogenic causes such as anxiety and depression but also on education. ${ }^{4,5}$ Finally, it was found that some drugs induced ED as an undesired effect, in particular antipsychotic drugs and also products belonging to other classes such as antihypertensives, diuretics, antilipidemics, and narcotics. ${ }^{5,6}$

The therapy for erectile dysfunction includes $\alpha$-adrenoceptor antagonists such as moxisylyte (Thymoxamine), ${ }^{7}$ synthetic prostaglandin E1 (Alprostadil), ${ }^{8}$ and opioids such as papaverine ${ }^{9}$ (which are all used for intracavernosal, intraurethral, or topical administration) and apomorphine, a dopamine D1 and D2 receptor agonist, which has been recently approved for marketing in Europe. ${ }^{10}$ Its use (sublingual via) for moderate ED is limited by notable side effects such as nausea, orthostatic hypertension, and vomiting. However, the most widely prescribed drugs for erectile dysfunction are the orally active and remarkably potent phosphodiesterase 5 (PDE5) inhibitors. ${ }^{11}$

PDE5 belongs to a superfamily of enzymes that catalyzes the hydrolysis of cyclic nucleotides cAMP and cGMP to the corresponding 5-nucleoside monophosphate. Currently, 11 different isoforms of phosphodiesterases (PDE1-PDE11) and their subtypes are known, distinguished by substrate specificities and tissue concentration. ${ }^{12,13}$ In particular, PDE5 is localized in cells of the corpus cavernosum, in vascular smooth muscle, and in platelets.

Currently, three PDE5 inhibitors are commercially available with excellent expected results and somewhat unique profiles: the archetypal sildenafil, $\mathbf{A}^{14,15}$ (Viagra), which was launched

[^0]in 1998, showed a lot of side effects such as increased light sensitivity, nausea, and headache due to its low selectivity toward PDE6 isoform; tadalafil, $\mathbf{B}^{16,17}$ (Cialis), which presents small secondary effects and a longer half-life with respect to sildenafil; vardenafil, $\mathbf{C}^{18,19}$ (Levitra), which shows a positive efficacy-security profile and was launched by Bayer Pharmaceutical and GlaxoSmithKline at the beginning of 2004 (Figure 1).

The therapeutic effect of PDE5 inhibitors is related to the increase of cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) levels, which are responsible for the relaxation of smooth muscle cells and vasodilation in the corpus cavernosum, thereby potentiating penile erection. ${ }^{20}$ At present, the primary objective of academics and pharmaceutical companies in this field is to identify new potent compounds with a very significant selectivity profile, in particular toward isoform 6, which is responsible for the most common side effects. The efforts expended in this area have led to excellent products with different chemical structures showing an activity in the (sub)nanomolar range and a very attractive specificity toward PDE5 isoenzyme (to 600-fold). ${ }^{21-24}$

Our previous studies and experience in the field of PDE inhibitors ${ }^{25-28}$ together with the interesting results coming from Bristol-Myers on the identification of potent, selective, and orally bioavailable pyrazolopyridopyridazines $\mathbf{D}^{29}$ led us to synthesize new pyrazolopyrimidopyridazinones of type $\mathbf{E}$, which showed good inhibitory activity on PDE5 and a fair selectivity toward PDE6 ${ }^{30}$ (Figure 1).

We report here the development of the above project with the synthesis and biological evaluation of a wide series of novel pyrazolopyrimidopyridazinones as PDE5 inhibitors.

## Chemistry

The final compounds were prepared following the synthetic routes reported in Schemes 1-5.

We previously described ${ }^{30,31}$ the synthesis of the tricyclic system that is based on three common steps: (a) condensation of the starting isoxazolo[3,4- $d$ ]pyridazinones with the appropriate arylaldehydes to give the vinyl derivatives ( $\mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1 5}, \mathbf{1 7}$, 28a,b, 33a,b); (b) treatment of the vinyl derivatives with hydrazine to obtain the pyrazolylpyridazinone intermediates by isoxazole ring opening ( $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1 6}, \mathbf{1 8}, \mathbf{2 9} \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{3 4 a}, \mathbf{b}$ ); (c) ring
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Figure 1. PDE5 inhibitors.
closure to pyrazolo $\left[1^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}: 1,6\right]$ pyrimido $[4,5-d]$ pyridazin-4(3H)ones with the appropriate anhydride under refluxing or with the opportune carboxylic acid at room temperature in the presence of 1-[3-(dimethylamino)propyl]-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride ( $\mathbf{5 a}-\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{1 9}, \mathbf{2 0 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{3 0 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{3 5 a}, \mathbf{b}$ ). The alkylation under standard conditions with the appropriate halides, which allowed us to obtain a variety of 3-substituted final compounds, was carried out on the isoxazolo[3,4- $d$ ] pyridazinones or on the tricyclic system.

Scheme 1 shows the alkylation performed on the precursor $\mathbf{1}^{32}$ to give the corresponding $N$-alkyl derivatives. In this synthetic pathway the tricyclic compounds $\mathbf{5 e}$ and $\mathbf{5 j}$ were further elaborated through an alkaline hydrolysis and through an oxidative reaction with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in acetic acid, respectively, to afford compounds $\mathbf{5 u}$ and $\mathbf{5 v}$.

Scheme 2 depicts the synthesis of the final compounds $7 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}$, which was performed by alkylation of pyrazolopyrimi-dopyridazin- $4(3 H)$-ones 6a,b. ${ }^{30,31}$ Moreover, compound 6b, treated with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ followed by the appropriate amine, furnished the corresponding pyrazolopyrimido $[4,5-d]$ pyridazines $\mathbf{9 a}, \mathbf{b}$.

Schemes 3-5 report the synthetic routes for the introduction of different substituents at position 1 of the tricyclic system. The difunctionalized isoxazoles 12a,b, 22, and $\mathbf{2 3}$ were prepared from the appropriate diketones 10a,b and 21a, $\mathbf{b}^{33-36}$ and the ethyl (chlorohydroximino)acetate 11, which is commercially available. 1,3-Dipolar cycloaddition is not a regioselective reaction in the presence of the above diketones and led to a mixture of the two isomers $\left(4-\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right.$ and 4-COR 4 derivatives), which were separated by column chromatography (Scheme 3)

## Scheme $1^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (a) RX, DMF, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$; (b) $\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{CHO}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{ONa}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$; (c) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$; (d) $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$, DMF, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, DMAP, EDC; (e) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$; (f) $\mathrm{NaOH} / \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$.

Scheme $\mathbf{2}^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ (a) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$; (b) $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$; (c) RX, DMF, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$.
or subjected as a mixture to the usual train of reactions (Scheme 4). In fact, when the mixture of 26 and 27 was treated with

## Scheme $3^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (a) $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{ONa} / \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$; (b) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$; (c) $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$, DMF, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$; (d) $\mathrm{PhCHO}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{ONa}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$; (e) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$; (f) $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
benzaldehyde in the presence of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{ONa}$, only the isomer $\mathbf{2 6}$ reacted ${ }^{37}$ affording the 3 -arylvinylderivatives $\mathbf{2 8 a}, \mathbf{b}$, which were collected as precipitates from the reaction mixtures. Compounds $\mathbf{2 8 a}, \mathbf{b}$ were converted into the final $\mathbf{3 0 a}, \mathbf{b}$ by using the same two-step procedure.

Finally, compounds 35a,b were prepared starting from precursor 14, which, when treated with HBr in acetic acid, afforded the corresponding 4-bromomethyl derivatives as good substrates for a nucleophilic displacement (Scheme 5).

Physical and chemical data of compounds $\mathbf{2 a}-\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{n}$, $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{n}$, and $\mathbf{5 a}-\mathbf{v}$ are reported in Tables $1-4$.

## Results and Discussion

All the final compounds were evaluated for their PDE5 and PDE6 inhibitory activity.

First, we extensively explored the role played by the substituent at position 3, keeping methyl groups at positions 1 and 6 and a phenyl at position 9 (Table 5). Indeed, the presence of linear ( $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 c}$, and $\mathbf{7 c}$ ) or branched alkyls ( $\mathbf{5 b}$ ) is generally associated with an almost complete absence of activity (PDE5 inhibition of $\leq 54.6 \%$ at $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Compounds 5 d and $\mathbf{5 u}$, bearing a propargyl and an acetic acid residue, respectively, were tested at $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$, showing low inhibitory activity. For the methyl derivative 7b, a dose response curve was determined and an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was found, but this compound was completely devoid of selectivity. It is interesting to observe that all the derivatives bearing linear or branched alkyls at position 3 were demonstrated to have very similar levels of activity, ranging from $50 \%$ at $1.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $\mathbf{7 b}$ to $44.7 \%$ at $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $\mathbf{5 b}$. Thus, the

Scheme $4^{a}$





30a,b
${ }^{a}$ (a) $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{ONa} / \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$; (b) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$; (c) $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$, DMF, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$; (d) $\mathrm{PhCHO}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{ONa}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$; (e) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$; (f) $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

## Scheme $5^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (a) $\mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$; (b) dimethylamine or 4-methylpiperazine, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$; (c) $\mathrm{PhCHO}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{ONa}$; (d) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$; (e) $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
length and the steric hindrance of the carbon chain do not play any role in determining PDE5 inhibitory activity. This finding lends strong support to the hypothesis that these groups do not

Table 1. Physical and Chemical Data of Compounds $2 \mathbf{2}-\mathbf{i}$


| 2a-i |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| compd | R | yield $(\%)$ | $\mathrm{mp}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{a}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 a}$ | Bn | 89 | $143-146$ |
| 2b | $n-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 88 | $83-85$ |
| 2c | $i-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 40 | $110-113$ |
| 2d | $n-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | 50 | $54-56$ |
| 2e | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ | 74 | $121-123$ |
| 2f | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOMe}$ | 72 | $110-112$ |
| $\mathbf{2 g}$ | $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | 90 | $126-129$ |
| $\mathbf{2 h}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-$ pyridyl | 64 | oil ${ }^{b}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 i}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-4$-piperidyl | 70 | $84-87$ |

${ }^{a}$ All solid compounds were purified by crystallization from EtOH. ${ }^{b}$ Purified by column chromatography using 1:2 cyclohexane/ethyl acetate.

Table 2. Physical and Chemical Data of Compounds 3a-n


3a-n

| compd | R | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | yield (\%) | $\operatorname{mp}_{\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)}$ | cryst solvent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3a | Bn | Ph | 80 | 215-217 | MeOH |
| 3b | $n-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | Ph | 78 | 181-183 | MeOH |
| 3c | $i-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | Ph | 86 | 225-226 | MeOH |
| 3d | $n-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | Ph | 75 | 173-175 | MeOH |
| 3 e | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ | Ph | 80 | 238-240 | MeOH |
| 3 f | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | Ph | 49 | 204-207 | EtOH |
| 3 g | $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | Ph | 70 | 188-190 | EtOH |
| 3h | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-4-pyridyl | Ph | 90 | 193-196 | EtOH |
| 3 i | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$-4-piperidyl | Ph | 61 | 216, dec | EtOH |
| 3j | Bn | $4-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Ph}$ | 95 | 231-233 | EtOH |
| 3k | Bn | $4-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{Ph}$ | 85 | 257-259 | MeOH |
| 31 | Bn | 3-pyridyl | 63 | 205-207 | EtOH |
| 3m | Bn | 3-thienyl | 66 | 209-212 | EtOH |
| 3n | Bn | 3-furyl | 98 | 197-199 dec | EtOH |

interact with any amino acidic residue of the protein, suggesting that in these derivatives the carbon chain is probably directed outside the catalytic pocket. In contrast, we found that an aryl group separated from the tricyclic core by a methylenic spacer is an important feature. In agreement with the result obtained with the previously reported 3-benzyl derivative, ${ }^{30}$ the analogues $\mathbf{5 f}, \mathbf{5 g}$, and $\mathbf{7 d}$ showed submicromolar activity coupled with a certain degree of selectivity versus PDE6. The best compound proved to be the 3-methoxybenzyl derivative $\mathbf{5 f}$, which showed good potency at PDE5 $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=100 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and at least 20 -fold selectivity versus PDE6.

By examining the results obtained from keeping a methyl at position 1 and a benzyl at position 3 and from varying $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ (Table 6), we observe that several compounds are endowed with affinity versus PDE5 in the same order of magnitude as the corresponding 9-phenyl derivative. ${ }^{30}$ Thus, with $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ at $\mathrm{R}_{2}$, the introduction of a chlorine in the para position of the phenyl (compound 7a) or its replacement with different heterocyclic systems (3-furyl, 3-thienyl, 3-pyridyl) gave compounds with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ in the range $0.05-0.47 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for PDE5 inhibition, but only the

Table 3. Physical and Chemical Data of Compounds $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{n}$

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| compd | R | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | yield (\%) | $\mathrm{mp}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{a}$ |
| 4a | Bn | Ph | 86 | 192-193 |
| 4b | $n-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | Ph | 60 | 182-183 |
| 4 c | $i-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | Ph | 47 | 188-190 |
| $4 d$ | $n-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | Ph | 85 | 142-145 |
| 4 e | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ | Ph | 50 | 192-194 |
| 4 f | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | Ph | 43 | 204-208 |
| 4g | $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | Ph | 53 | 138-141 |
| 4 h | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-4-pyridyl | Ph | 20 | 143-146 |
| 4 i | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$-4-piperidyl | Ph | 81 | 177-180 |
| 4j | Bn | 4-F-Ph | 71 | 258-259 |
| 4k | Bn | $4-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{Ph}$ | 96 | 200-203 |
| 41 | Bn | 3-pyridyl | 40 | 209-210 |
| 4m | Bn | 3-thienyl | 60 | 239-241 |
| 4n | Bn | 3-furyl | 58 | 220-222 |

${ }^{a}$ All compounds were purified by crystallization from EtOH .
Table 4. Physical and Chemical Data of Compounds $\mathbf{5 a - v}$


| compd | R | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | yield <br> (\%) | $\mathrm{mp}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5a | $n-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | Ph | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 63 | 189-190 |
| 5b | $i-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | Ph | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 53 | 196-198 |
| 5c | $n-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | Ph | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 44 | 183-185 |
| 5d | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ | Ph | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 45 | 255-258 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | Ph | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 41 | >300 |
| 5 f | $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | Ph | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 35 | 187-190 |
| 5 g | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-4-pyridyl | Ph | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 66 | 231-234 |
| 5h | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$-4-piperidyl | Ph | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 46 | 143-145 |
| $5 i$ | Bn | $4-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 47 | 280-284 |
| 5j | Bn | $4-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}-\mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 48 | 270-273 |
| 5k | Bn | 3-pyridyl | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 54 | 235-237 |
| 51 | Bn | 3-thienyl | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 92 | 230-232 |
| 5m | Bn | 3-furyl | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 78 | 233, dec |
| 5n | Bn | Ph | H | 64 | 267-269 |
| 50 | Bn | Ph | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 89 | 220-222 |
| 5p | Bn | Ph | $n-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 72 | 191-192 |
| 5q | Bn | Ph | $i-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 45 | 234-237 |
| 5 r | Bn | Ph | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ | 33 | 225-227 |
| 5s | Bn | Ph | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | 42 | 215-218 |
| 5 t | Bn | Ph | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | 39 | 205-207 |
| 5u | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | Ph | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 63 | >300 |
| 5 v | Bn | $4-\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 36 | 248-251 |

${ }^{a}$ All compounds were purified by crystallization from EtOH.
3-thienyl derivative 51 retained an appreciable level of selectivity (16) versus PDE6. In contrast, the introduction of a fluorine (compound $\mathbf{5 i}$ ) or a methylsulfonyl (compound $\mathbf{5 v}$ ) in the para position of the phenyl was very detrimental, since a complete loss of activity was observed. This finding strongly suggests that both steric and electronic features play an important role in this part of the molecule because the presence of the small and strongly electronegative $\mathrm{F}\left(\pi=0.14, \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}=0.06, \mathrm{MR}=\right.$ 0.092 ) ${ }^{38}$ and the large electron-withdrawing $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ group ( $\pi$ $\left.=-1.63, \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}=0.72, \mathrm{MR}=1.35\right)$ is associated with absence of

Table 5. PDE5 and PDE6 Inhibitory Activity of Pyrazolopyrimidopyridazinones


5a-d, 5f-h, 5u, 7b-d

| compd | R | PDE5 $^{c}$ | PDE6 $^{c}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5 a}$ | $n-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | $44.9(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ | $6.9(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| $\mathbf{5 b}$ | $i-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | $44.7(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ | $1.2(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| $\mathbf{5 c}$ | $n-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | $54.6(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ | $32.5(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| $\mathbf{5 d}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ | $19.7(0.2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ | $0.3(0.2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| $\mathbf{5 f}$ | $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | 0.1 | $3.8(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| $\mathbf{5 g}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-$ pyridyl | 0.24 | $39.4(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| $\mathbf{5 h}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-4-$ piperidyl | $67.7(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ | $26.6(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| $\mathbf{5 u}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | $7.6(0.2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ | $11.2(0.2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| $\mathbf{7 b}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.5 | 2.6 |
| $\mathbf{7 c}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $49.3(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ | $53.4(0.2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| $\mathbf{7 d}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{b}$ | 0.39 | $7(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| E |  | 0.16 | $25(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| sildenafil | Bn | 0.020 | 0.040 |

${ }^{a}$ See ref $30 .{ }^{b} \mathrm{~A}=3,4$-methylendioxyphenylmethyl. ${ }^{c}$ Data are indicated as $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ or inhibition percentage at indicated concentration $(\mu \mathrm{M})$. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were obtained from dose response curves at three or four different concentrations ( $n=2-3$ ).

Table 6. PDE5 and PDE6 Inhibitory Activity of Pyrazolopyrimidopyridazinones


5i, 5k, 5l-t, 5v, 7a

| compd | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{PDE5}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | PDE6 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 i | 4-F-Ph | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 38.8 ( $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 4.5 ( $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) |
| 5k | 3-pyridyl | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.47 | 0.28 |
| 51 | 3-thienyl | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.14 | 2.2 |
| 5m | 3 -furyl | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.05 | 0.33 |
| 5n | Ph | H | 0.18 | 0.86 |
| 50 | Ph | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 0.038 | 0.55 |
| 5p | Ph | $n-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 0.04 | 0.61 |
| 5q | Ph | $i-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 0.023 | 0.062 |
| 5 r | Ph | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ | 0.0083 | 22.8 ( $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) |
| 5s | Ph | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | 0.079 | 16.9 ( $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) |
| 5t | Ph | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | 0.095 | 1.1 ( $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) |
| 5v | $4-\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 3.8 ( $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | 2.8 ( $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) |
| 7 a | $4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.37 | 4.7 |
| $\mathbf{E}^{a}$ | Ph | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.16 | 25 ( $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) |
| sildenafil |  |  | 0.020 | 0.040 |

${ }^{a}$ See ref $30 .{ }^{b}$ Data are indicated as $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ or inhibition percentage at indicated concentration $(\mu \mathrm{M})$. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were obtained from dose response curves at three or four different concentrations ( $n=2-3$ ).
activity in comparison with the active chloro derivative 7a, where the substituent is characterized by an electronegative effect and limited steric hindrance ( $\pi=0.71, \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}=0.23$, MR $=0.603$ ). Studying the effect due to the modification of the substituent at position 6 , with $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Ph}$, we affirm that, moving from H (compound $\mathbf{5 n}$ ) to low alkyls $(\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{p})$, the activity is significantly improved (at least 5-fold); moreover, the presence

Table 7. PDE5 and PDE6 Inhibitory Activity of Pyrazolopyrimidopyridazinones
)


9a,b


20a,b, 30a,b, 35a,b

| compd | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{PDE5}^{e}$ | PDE6 $^{e}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9a | Bn |  | $52.4(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ | $\mathrm{NT}^{d}$ |
| 9b | $\mathrm{A}^{b}$ |  | $56.8(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ | $\mathrm{NT}^{d}$ |
| 20a |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 0.071 | 0.33 |
| 20b |  | cyclopentyl $^{\text {30a }}$ |  | $14.3(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| 30b |  | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 0.22 | $12.3(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| 35a |  | $i-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 0.1 | $21.8(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| 35b |  | $\mathrm{BH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 1.4 | $59.8(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| $\mathbf{E}^{a}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $23.0(0.2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ | 1.0 |
| sildenafil |  |  | 0.16 | $30.0(0.2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |

${ }^{a}$ See ref $30 .{ }^{b} \mathrm{~A}=3$,4-methylendioxyphenylmethyl. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{~B}=N$-methylpiperazinylmethyl. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{NT}=$ not tested. ${ }^{e}$ Data are indicated as $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ or inhibition percentage at indicated concentration $(\mu \mathrm{M})$. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were obtained from dose response curves at three or four different concentrations ( $n=2-3$ ).
of a branched chain $(\mathbf{5 q})$ was associated with the best result in this subseries of compounds. The best balance of activity and selectivity was that of the $n$-propyl derivative $\mathbf{5 p}$ (PDE5 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ $=40 \mathrm{nM}$, PDE6/PDE5 $=15$ ). When position 6 is decorated with an oxygenated carbon chain, both the activity and the selectivity were dramatically improved. Thus, compound 5r, bearing the 2-oxobutyryl group in this position, was characterized by high PDE5 affinity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.3 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and very high selectivity ( $>240$ ). Compared with sildenafil, compound $\mathbf{5 r}$ was 2.4 -fold more potent and at least 200 -fold more selective. When the oxygenated carbon chain of $\mathbf{5 r}$ was replaced by a methyl butyrate residue (5s), an approximately 10 -fold reduction of activity was observed, without modification of the selectivity. Elongation of the methylenic carbon chain of 5 s gave compound $\mathbf{5 t}$, which showed the same activity and selectivity profile. Compounds 5 s and $\mathbf{5 t}$ showed potency at the same level as the analogues with ethyl, $n$-propyl, and isopropyl at $\mathrm{R}_{2}$, but in terms of the selectivity issue, the presence of a carbonyl dipole in the carbon chain seems to be an essential requirement. Taken together, these results suggest that the presence of a hydrogen bond acceptor in the carbon chain at $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ plays an important role in the interaction with the biological target, also allowing us to nicely discriminate between PDE5 and PDE6. Moreover, the position of the carbonyl group inside the carbon chain and/or the nature of the functional group plays a very critical role in the affinity at PDE5.

We also evaluated a series of analogues modified at position 1 (Table 7); thus, the methyl group of our previous prototype (compound $\mathbf{E}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) ${ }^{30}$ was elongated, branched, functionalized, and replaced by cycloalkyl groups. All these manipulations did not improve the activity. In this subseries the best compound proved to be 20a, bearing an ethoxymethyl group (PDE5 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=71 \mathrm{nM}$ ), but a complete absence of selectivity was found for this molecule. Low linear and branched alkyls (compounds 30a,b) were better than cyclopentyl (20b); amino and cycloalkylamino groups linked to the tricyclic system through a methylenic spacer (compounds $\mathbf{3 5 a}, \mathbf{b}$ ) proved to be almost devoid of activity.

Finally we synthesized some examples of compounds in which the (substituted) benzyl group was shifted from position 3 to position 4 through aromatization of the pyridazinone system. Both compounds 9a and 9b, bearing a (alkoxy)benzylamino fragment similar to that of Bristol-Myers compound $\mathbf{D}$, proved to be inactive. This result seems to indicate that the presence of the carbonyl dipole together with the benzyl group at the adjacent nitrogen in our series is an essential feature for the interaction with the protein. This finding is in agreement with the SAR found for compounds such as sildenafil and vardenafil targeting PDE5 and also with agents targeting PDE3 and PDE4 isoenzymes, which show a remarkable degree of homology in the catalytic site with PDE5. ${ }^{39}$ Compound $\mathbf{D}$ probably interacts with this site with a different orientation with respect to both the above drugs and our compounds.

In conclusion, exploration of the space around the pyrazolopyrimidopyridazinone system allowed us to identify compound 5r displaying PDE5 inhibitory activity in the low nanomolar range and 240 -fold selectivity versus PDE6. This in vitro profile suggests $\mathbf{5 r}$ as a very promising new lead both in comparison with the potent but not selective sildenafil and in comparison with previously reported analogues. ${ }^{30}$ In fact, optimization of these compounds allowed us to improve potency at PDE5 by more than 1 order of magnitude; moreover, selectivity versus PDE6 was increased from 66- to 240 -fold.

SAR studies in this triheterocyclic scaffold led us to conclude that the best arranged groups are a methyl at $\mathrm{R}_{4}$, benzyl at R , and a phenyl at $R_{1}$. At $R_{2}$ the best substituent was a linear fourcarbon chain bearing a carbonyl dipole.

Taking into account these findings, further modifications are in progress to improve the in vitro profile of the present series. In the meantime, compound $\mathbf{5 r}$ is under evaluation in some experimental models of penile dysfunction.

## Experimental Section

Chemistry. All melting points were determined on a Büchi apparatus and are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded with Varian Gemini 200 instruments. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm, using the solvent as internal standard. Extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. Merck F-254 commercial plates were used for analytical TLC to follow the course of reaction. Silica gel 60 (Merck 70-230 mesh) was used for column chromatography. Reagent $\mathbf{1 1}$ is commercially available.

General Procedure for Compounds 2a-i. A mixture of isoxazolopyridazinone $\mathbf{1}^{32}(2.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(4.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the appropriate alkyl halide ( $3.0-4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF ( 6 mL ) was stirred at $70-110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $0.5-3 \mathrm{~h}$. For compound 2 h the reaction was carried out at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . After cooling, the mixture was diluted with cold water, and the precipitate was recovered by suction. For compounds $\mathbf{2 e}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h}$, after dilution with water, the suspension was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then the solvent was evaporated in vacuo to afford a crude precipitate.

Compound $2 \mathbf{i}$ was synthesized starting from 6-(2-bromoethyl)-3,4-dimethylisoxazole[3,4-d]pyridazin-7( 6 H )-one ${ }^{40}$ ( 0.73 mmol ), which was suspended in anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ). $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.16$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and piperidine ( 1.2 mmol ) were added, and the mixture was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and 30 min . After the mixture was cooled, cold water was added and the suspension was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Evaporation of the solvent afforded 2i.

6-Benzyl-3,4-dimethylisoxazolo[3,4-d]pyridazin-7(6H)-one, 2 a. Yield $=89 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=143-146{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $2.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 2.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 5.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right)$, 7.20-7.50 (m, 5H, Ar).

3,4-Dimethyl-6-isopropylisoxazolo[3,4-d]pyridazin-7(6H)one, 2c. Yield $=40 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=110-113{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
$\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 2.85(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 5.25-5.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$.

General Procedure for Compounds $\mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{n}$. To a suspension of isoxazolopyridazinone $\mathbf{2 a} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{i}(1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the appropriate arylaldehyde ( $2-3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous methanol, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{ONa}(1-2.5$ mmol ) was added. The mixture was refluxed under stirring for $1-45$ min . After the mixture was cooled, the solid was recovered by suction. For compond 3h the mixture was concentrated and diluted with ice-water ( 10 mL ) and the precipitate was isolated by filtration.

6-Benzyl-4-methyl-3-styrylisoxazolo[3,4- $d$ ]pyridazin-7(6H)one, 3a. Yield $=80 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=215-217{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 5.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, C H=), 7.30-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},=C H)$.

6-Isopropyl-4-methyl-3-styrylisoxazolo[3,4-d] pyridazin-7(6H)one, 3c. Yield $=86 \%$; $\mathrm{mp}=225-226{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 5.30-$ $5.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=), 7.45-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},=\mathrm{CH})$.

General Procedure for Compounds $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{n}$. A suspension of compounds $\mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{n}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(2-3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and hydrazine hydrate ( $2-9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred at room temperature for $0.5-5 \mathrm{~h}$ (compounds $\mathbf{4 d}$ and $\mathbf{4 k}$ for $0.5-1 \mathrm{~h}$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Then the mixture was concentrated in vacuo and cooled for $1-2 \mathrm{~h}$ and the precipitate was recovered by suction. For compound $\mathbf{4 h}$, after concentration, the mixture was diluted with water ( 5 mL ) and after the mixture was cooled, the solid was filtered off.

4-Amino-2-benzyl-6-methyl-5-(5'-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-3-yl)py-ridazin-3(2H)-one, 4a. Yield $=86 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=192-193{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 5.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right)$, 6.00 (exch br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, N H_{2}$ ), $6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.30-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}$, Ar).

4-Amino-2-isopropyl-6-methyl-5-(5'-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-pyridazin-3(2H)-one, 4c. Yield $=47 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=188-190{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOH); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.6-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 5.20-5.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.35-$ 7.80 (m, 5H, Ar).

General Procedure for Compounds $\mathbf{5 a - m , 0} \mathbf{- q}$. A mixture of 4-amino-5-pyrazolyl derivatives $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{n}(0.2-0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the suitable anhydride ( $10-15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was refluxed under stirring for 5 min to 1 h . After the mixture was cooled, the solid that separated was filtered and washed with water. For compound $\mathbf{5 h}$, the mixture was diluted with cold water ( 15 mL ) and neutralized with 6 N NaOH and the precipitate was recovered by suction. Compound $\mathbf{5 k}$ was recovered by suction after dilution of the reaction mixture with ice-water ( 10 mL ).

1,6-Dimethyl-3-isopropyl-9-phenylpyrazolo[ $\left.1^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}: 1,6\right]$ pyrimido-[4,5-d]pyridazin-4(3H)-one, 5b. Yield $=53 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=196-198$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.40\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.80(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 3.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 5.45-5.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 7.35-7.60 (m, 4H Ar), 8.10 (d, 2H, Ar).

3-Benzyl-1-methyl-9-phenylpyrazolo $\left[1^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}: 1,6\right]$ pyrimido $[4,5-$ $d$ ]pyridazin- $\mathbf{4}(\mathbf{3 H})$-one, $\mathbf{5 n}$. A mixture of $\mathbf{4 a}(0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$, triethylorthoformate ( 12 mmol ), anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ), and a catalytic amount of concentrated sulfuric acid was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . The mixture was diluted with cold water ( 10 mL ), and the precipitate was recovered by suction. Yield $=64 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=$ 267-269 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDC}_{13}\right) \delta 2.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$, 5.40 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.25-7.60 (m, 9H, Ar), 8.05 (m, 2H, Ar), 9.45 (s, 1H, Ar).

General Procedure for Compounds $\mathbf{5 r} \mathbf{- t}$. A suspension of 4a ( 0.42 mmol ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(13 \mathrm{~mL})$, anhydrous DMF ( $1-1.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), 0.52 mmol of suitable acid or ester (levulinic acid, acetoxypropionic acid, methyladipate), 0.51 mmol of 4 -(dimethylamino)pyridine, and 0.6 mmol of 1-[3-(dimethylamino)propyl]-3ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride was stirred at room temperature for 6 h and then refluxed for 20 h . After the mixture was cooled, the solid was filtered off and dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was washed with 2 N HCl and with 2 N NaOH solution sequentially and then evaporated in vacuo to afford a crude precipitate.

3-Benzyl-1-methyl-6-(3-oxobutyl)-9-phenylpyrazolo[ $\left[1^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}: 1,6\right]$ pyrimido $[4,5-d]$ pyridazin-4(3H)-one, 5r. Yield $=33 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=$ $225-227^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$, $2.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 3.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 5.35 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.35-8.20 (m, $11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ).

Methyl 3-Benzyl-1-methyl-4-oxo-9-phenyl-3,4-dihydropyrazolo $\left[1^{\prime}, \mathbf{5}^{\prime}: 1,6\right]$ pyrimido $[4,5-d]$ pyridazin-6-yl)propanoate, 5s. Yield $=42 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=215-218{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.80$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ ), $3.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right), 3.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 3.65-3.75 (m, 2H, CH $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ ), 5.40 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.30$8.20(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$.

1,6-Dimethyl-4-oxo-9-phenyl-3,4-diidropyrazolo[ $\left.1^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}: 1,6\right]$ py-rimido[4,5-d] pyridazin-3-acetic Acid, 5u. A mixture of 5e (0.22 $\mathrm{mmol})$, ethanol ( $1-1.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . After acidification with 6 N HCl , the final compound 5 u was recovered by suction. Yield $=63 \% ; \mathrm{mp}>$ $300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 3.10$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ ), 4.85 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ ), 7.55 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.80 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 8.20$ (m, 2H, Ph).

3-Benzyl-1,6-dimethyl-9-(4-methylsulfonylphenyl)pyrazolo[ $\left.1^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}: 1,6\right]$ pyrimido $[4,5-d]$ pyridazin- $4(3 H)$-one, 5 v . A suspension of compound $\mathbf{5 j}(0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous acetic acid ( 2 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \%, 2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and 30 min . After the mixture was cooled, the precipitate was recovered by suction. Yield $=36 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=248-251{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $2.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 2.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 3.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $6-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ ), 5.50 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.30-7.60 (m, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 8.10 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 8.30$ (d, 2H, Ar).

General Procedure for Compounds 7a-d. Compounds 7a-d were obtained starting from $\mathbf{6 a , b}$ following the general procedure described for $\mathbf{2 a}-\mathbf{i}$. For compound $\mathbf{7 c}$ the reaction was carried out at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The residue was purified by column chromatography using 9:1 $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ as eluent.

3-Benzyl-9-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,6-dimethylpyrazolo[ $\left.1^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}: 1,6\right]-$ pyrimido $[4,5-d]$ pyridazin-4(3H)-one, 7a. Yield $=59 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=$ $266-269{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$, $3.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 5.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.30-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, Ar), 8.00 (d, 2H, Ar).

1,6-Dimethyl-3-ethyl-9-phenylpyrazolo $\left[1^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}: 1,6\right]$ pyrimido[4,5$d]$ pyridazin- $4(3 \mathrm{H})$-one, 7c. Yield $=65 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=218-220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOH); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.45\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $1-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ ), $3.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 4.35\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.30-7.60$ (m, 4H, Ar), 8.15 (d, 2H, Ar).

4-Chloro-1,6-dimethyl-9-phenylpyrazolo $\left[1^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}: 1,6\right]$ pyrimido[ $4,5-d]$ pyridazine, 8. A suspension of $\mathbf{6 b}(0.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After cooling, the mixture was treated with cold water $(10-15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the precipitate was recovered by suction and washed with water. Yield $=87 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=253-256{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.45$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ ), $3.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 8.10$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 8.30$ (m, 2H, Ar).

General Procedure for Compounds $9 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$. A suspension of $\mathbf{8}$ $(0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, the appropriate amine (benzylamine, methylendioxybenzylamine, $10-20 \mathrm{mmol})$, anhydrous ethanol ( 1 mL ), and triethylamine $(0.05 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h in a sealed tube. After cooling, the mixture was diluted with cold water and compound $9 \mathbf{a}$ was recovered by suction. For compound $\mathbf{9 b}$, the mixture was evaporated and the residue was treated with hot cyclohexane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The final compound $\mathbf{9 b}$ was recrystallized with ethanol.

4-Benzyl-1,6-dimethyl-9-phenylpyrazolo $\left[1^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}: 1,6\right]$ pyrimido-[4,5-d]pyridazin-4-amine, 9a. Yield $=96 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=231-233{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 3.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.6-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 5.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.20-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.95(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H, Ar).

4-(3,4-Methylendioxybenzyl)-1,6-dimethyl-2-phenylpyrazolo[ $\left.1^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}: 1,6\right]$ pyrimido $[4,5-d]$ pyridazin-4-amine, 9 b . Yield $=56 \%$; $\mathrm{mp}=250-253{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.1-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 3.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 5.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 5.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}$ ), $6.75-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.20-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.90$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ).

General Procedure for Compounds $\mathbf{1 2 a}, \mathbf{b}$. To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and stirred solution of sodium ethoxide ( 15 mmol ) and anhydrous ethanol ( 20 mL ), the appropriate diketone ( 8 mmol ) 10a,b ${ }^{33,34}$ dissolved in the same solvent ( 10 mL ) was slowly added. After the mixture was cooled at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a solution of ethyl (chlorohydroximino) acetate $\mathbf{1 1}(10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous ethanol ( 10 mL ) was added dropwise. The mixture, neutralized with 6 N HCl , was evaporated, and cold water was added. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and evaporation of the solvent afforded compounds 12a,b, which were purified by column chromatography using $2: 1$ cyclohexane/ethyl acetate as eluent for compound 12a and 1:2 cyclohexane/ethyl acetate for compound $\mathbf{1 2 b}$.

Ethyl 4-Ethoxymethylcarbonyl-5-methylisoxazole-3-carboxylate, 12a. Yield $=68.7 \%$; oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.25(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}$ ), 1.40 (t, 3H, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 2.65 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.60 (q, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), $4.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 4.40(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}$ ).

Ethyl 4-Cyclopentancarbonyl-5-methylisoxazole-3-carboxylate, 12b. Yield $=52 \%$; oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.25(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}$ ), $1.60-1.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 2.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 4.40 (q, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}$ ).

General Procedure for Compounds 13a,b. To a solution of the isoxazole derivative 12a,b ( 2 mmol ) in EtOH ( 2 mL ), hydrazine hydrate ( $3-4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temprature for $1-3 \mathrm{~h}$. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo, diluted with water $(10-15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times$ 15 mL ). Evaporation of the solvent afforded the 13a,b.

4-Ethoxymethyl-3-methylisoxazolo $[3,4-d]$ pyridazin-7(6H)one, 13a. Yield $=73 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=155-157{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.20\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.60(\mathrm{q}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 4.60 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OEt}$ ), 9.80 (exch br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ).

4-Cyclopentyl-3-methylisoxazolo [3,4-d]pyridazin-7(6H)one, 13b. Yield $=30 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=154-157{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.75-1.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cC}{ }_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 2.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

6-Benzyl-4-ethoxymethyl-3-methylisoxazolo[3,4- $d$ ] pyridazin$7(6 H)$-one, 14. 14 was obtained from 13a following the reported general procedure to obtain $2 \mathbf{2 a}-\mathbf{i}$. The mixture was diluted with cold water and was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. After evaporation of solvent, a crude precipitate was obtained. Yield $=48 \%$; oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.20\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.80(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \quad 3.60\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.55(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OEt}\right), 5.20$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.30-7.40$ (m, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar)}$.

6-Benzyl-4-ethoxymethyl-3-styrylisoxazolo[3,4-d]pyridazin$7(6 H)$-one, 15.15 was obtained from compound 14 following the general procedure described for $\mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{n}$. Yield $=53 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=127-$ $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.25\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.60$ (q, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 4.80 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OEt}$ ), 5.30 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar)}$, $7.20-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}, 10 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{Ar}$ and $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH})$.

4-Amino-2-benzyl-6-ethoxymethyl-5-(5'-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-3-yl)pyridazin-3(2H)-one, 16. Compound 16 was obtained from compound 15 following the general procedure described for $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{n}$. Yield $=77 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=197-199^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 1.20\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.45\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OEt}$ ), 5.30 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.20-7.60$ (m, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 8.00$ (m, 2H, Ar).

4-Cyclopentyl-3-styrylisoxazolo[3,4-d]pyridazin-7(6H)-one, 17. 17 was obtained from 13b following the reported general procedure to obtain 3a-n. Yield $=71 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=280-282^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.65-2.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.45-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH})$.

4-Amino-6-cyclopentyl-5-(5'-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-3-yl)pyridazin$3(2 H)$-one, 18. 18 was obtained from 17 following the general procedure to obtain $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{n}$. Yield $=67 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=154-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, dec (EtOH); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.45-1.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{c}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 6.90$ (s, 1H, Ar), 7.25-7.75 (m, 7H, Ar), 7.50 (exch br s, 2H, NH ${ }_{2}$ ).

1-Cyclopentyl-6-methyl-9-phenylpyrazolo[ $\left.1^{\prime}, \mathbf{5}^{\prime}: 1,6\right]$ pyrimido-[4,5-d] pyridazin-4(3H)-one, 19. Compound 19 was obtained from compound 18 following the general procedure described for $\mathbf{5 a}-\mathbf{m}$. Yield $=46 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=216-220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
$\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.80-2.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 3.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 7.40$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.45-7.60$ (m, 3H, Ar), 8.05 (m, 2H, Ar).

3-Benzyl-1-ethoxymethyl-6-methyl-9-phenylpyrazolo[ $\left.1^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}: 1,6\right]$ -pyrimido[4,5-d]pyridazin-4(3H)-one, 20a. Compound 20a was obtained from compound 16 following the general procedure described for $5 \mathbf{5}-\mathbf{m}$. Yield $=47 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=154-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.20\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$, 3.65 (q, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 4.80 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OEt}$ ), 5.50 (s, 2 H , $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.20-7.60$ (m, 9H, Ar), 8.10 (m, 2H, Ar).

3-Benzyl-1-cyclopentyl-6-methyl-2-phenylpyrazolo[ $\left.\mathbf{1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{5}^{\prime}: 1,6\right]$ pyrimido $[4,5-d]$ pyridazin-4(3H)-one, 20b. Compound 20b was obtained from compound 19 following the general procedure described for $\mathbf{2 a}-\mathbf{i}$. In this case the mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . Yield $=43 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=205-207{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.80-2.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{c} C_{5} H_{9}\right), 3.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 5.25-$ 7.60 (s, 2H, CH ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.40 (m, $\left.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}\right), 8.10$ (m, 2H, Ar).

General Procedure for Compounds 28a,b. 28a,b were obtained following the procedure described for compound $\mathbf{1 5}$, starting from 1,3 -diketones 21a, $\mathbf{b}^{35,36}$ and compound 11. The mixture of the isoxazole intermediates 22a,b and 23a,b as well as the isoxazolopyridazinones $\mathbf{2 4 a}, \mathbf{b}-\mathbf{2 7 a}, \mathbf{b}$ were not separated until the final condensation with benzaldehyde. From this reaction the pure 28a,b were isolated as precipitates.

6-Benzyl-4-ethyl-3-styrylisoxazolo[3,4-d] pyridazin-7(6H)one, 28a. Yield $=25 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=176-178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.35\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.90\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.30(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.25-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.80$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH})$.

6-Benzyl-4-isopropyl-3-styrylisoxazolo[3,4-d]pyridazin-7(6H)one, 28b. Yield $=24 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=163-164{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.40\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 3.15-3.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $5.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.25-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH})$.
4-Amino-2-benzyl-6-ethyl-5-( $5^{\prime}$-phenyl-1 H -pyrazol-3-yl)py-ridazin-3(2H)-one, 29a. Compound 29a was obtained from compound 28a following the general procedure described for $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{n}$. Yield $=73 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=189-190{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 1.20\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.65\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.25-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$.

4-Amino-2-benzyl-6-isopropyl-5-(5'-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-3-yl)-pyridazin-3(2H)-one, 29b. Compound 29b was obtained from compound 28b following the general procedure described for $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{n}$. After dilution with water ( 10 mL ), the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. Evaporation of the solvent afforded compound 29b. Yield $=84 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=151-154^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 3.05-3.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 5.40 (s, 2H, CH ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.20-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$.

3-Benzyl-1-ethyl-6-methyl-9-phenylpyrazolo $\left[1^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}: 1,6\right]$ pyrimido-[4,5-d]pyridazin-4(3H)-one, 30a. 30a was obtained from 29a following the general procedure to obtain $\mathbf{5 a}-\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{5 0}-\mathbf{q}$. Yield $=38 \% ; \mathrm{mp}>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.40(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.10-3.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.3 \mathrm{H} 6-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 5.55$ (s, 2H, CH2 Ar), 7.25-7.60 (m, 9H, Ar), 8.10 (m, 2H, Ar).

3-Benzyl-1-isopropyl-6-methyl-9-phenylpyrazolo[ $\left.1^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}: 1,6\right]$ pyrimido $[4,5-d]$ pyridazin- $4(3 H)$-one, 30b. 30b was obtained from 29b following the general procedure to obtain $\mathbf{5 a}-\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{5 0}-\mathbf{q}$. Yield $=57 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=202-204{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $1.40\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 3.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 3.55-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 5.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.20-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 8.10(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ).

6-Benzyl-4-bromomethyl-3-methylisoxazolo[3,4- $d$ ] pyridazin$\mathbf{7}(\mathbf{6 H})$-one, 31. A mixture of $\mathbf{1 4}(0.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{HBr}(1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetic acid was stirred at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h in a sealed tube. After the mixture was cooled and diluted with cold water ( 10 mL ), a crude precipitate was recovered by suction and purified by column chromatography using 8:2 toluene/ethyl acetate as eluent. Yield $=$ $60 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=185-188{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.90(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ ), 4.65 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ ), 5.30 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.20-$ 7.58 (m, 5H, Ar).

General Procedure for Compounds 32a,b. A mixture of compound $31(0.5 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, anhydrous DMF (3
mL ), and the appropriate amine ( 0.5 mmol ) (dimethylamine or methylpiperazine) was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . For compound 32a, after dilution with water, the solution was acidified with 2 N HCl and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The aqueous layer was then was made alkaline with 6 N NaOH and extracted again with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Evaporation of the solvent afforded final compound 32a. Compound $\mathbf{3 2 b}$ was isolated by dilution of the reaction mixture with water, extraction with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and evaporation of the solvent.
6-Benzyl-4-[(dimethylamino)methyl]-3-methylisoxazolo[3,4-d]pyridazin-7( 6 H )-one, 32a. Yield $=57 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=185-188{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOH); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $3-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ ), $3.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right.$ ), $5.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.20-7.45(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$.

6-Benzyl-4-[(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl]-3-methylisoxazolo-[3,4-d]pyridazin-7(6H)-one, 32b. Yield $=62 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=153-154$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.70-2.85$ (m, 8 H, piperazine), $2.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 3.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, 5.30 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.20-7.45$ (m, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ).

General Procedure for Compounds 33a,b. 33a,b were obtained following the general procedure of $\mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{n}$.

6-Benzyl-4-[(dimethylamino)methyl]-3-styrylisoxazolo[3,4-d]-pyridazin-7(6H)-one, 33a. Yield $=34 \% ; \mathrm{mp}>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, dec $(\mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $C H_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $5.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.20-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, 10 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{Ar}$ and 2 H $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ).

6-Benzyl-4-[(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl]-3-styrylisoxazolo-[3,4-d]pyridazin-7(6H)-one, 33b. Yield $=43 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=178-181$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.30-2.80$ (m, 8 H , piperazine), $3.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 5.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right)$, 7.20-7.80 (m, 12H, 10H Ar and $2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ).

General Procedure for Compounds 34a,b. 34a,b were obtained following the general procedure of $\mathbf{4 a - n}$.

4-Amino-2-benzyl-6-[(dimethylamino)methyl]-5-( $5^{\prime}$-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-3-yl)pyridazin-3(2H)-one, 34a. Yield $=29 \%$; mp $=$ $235-238{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, 3.45 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 5.40 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 5.60 (exch br s, 2 H , $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 720-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$.
4-Amino-2-benzyl-6-[(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl]-5-(5'-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-3-yl)pyridazin-3(2H)-one, 34b. Yield $=67 \%$; $\mathrm{mp}=186-188{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 2.80-3.20 (m, 8H, piperazine), $3.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 5.35$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 5.70 (exch br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 6.80 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.20-$ 7.50 (m, 8H, Ar), 7.80 (m, 2H, Ar).

General Procedure for Compounds 35a,b. 35a,b were obtained following the general procedure of $\mathbf{5 a - m}$ and $\mathbf{5 0}-\mathbf{q}$.

3-Benzyl-1-[(dimethylamino)methyl]-6-methyl-9-phenylpyrazolo[ $\left.1^{\prime} 5^{\prime}: 1,6\right]$ pyrimido $[4,5-d]$ pyridazin- $4(3 H)$-one, 35 a . Yield $=$ $52 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=197-200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.40(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 3.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 5.50(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.20-7.55$ (m, 9H, Ar), 8.00 (m, 2H, Ar).

3-Benzyl-1-[(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl-6-methyl-9phenylpyrazolo[ $\left.\mathbf{1}^{\prime} 5^{\prime}: 1,6\right]$ pyrimido[4,5- $d$ ] pyridazin-4(3H)-one, 35b. Yield $=58 \% ; \mathrm{mp}>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.30(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.40-2.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}\right.$, piperazine), $3.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$, 3.85 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, C H_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 5.45 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.25-7.60 (m, 9H, Ar), 7.95-8.05 (m, 2H, Ar).

Biological Assays. Inhibition of $\mathbf{3 '}^{\prime}: \mathbf{5} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{c G M P}$ Phosphodiesterases. Purification of Phosphodiesterase Isoenzymes. PDE5 was purified from human platelets as described by Gristwood et al. ${ }^{41}$ Briefly, the supernatant of the cell lysate from $10^{9}$ platelets was chromatographed by a Mono-Q ion exchange column attached to a Pharmacia FPLC system.

PDE6 was purified from bovine retinas as described by Gillespie and Beavo. ${ }^{42}$

The isoenzymes were characterized according to Beavo et al. ${ }^{43}$ by selectivity and affinity and by effect of calcium ions ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) plus calmodulin $(1.2 \mu \mathrm{M})$, cyclic GMP $(5 \mu \mathrm{M})$, and the selective inhibitor sildenafil. PDE5 was kept frozen at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of $1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ bovine serum albumin until use.

Phosphodiesterase Assay. Cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase activities were measured using a two-step procedure according to

Thompson and Strada. ${ }^{44}$ PDE5 and PDE6 (activated by 250 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ trypsin) were assayed using $0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ cGMP as substrate. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were obtained by nonlinear regression using the Prism program by GraphPad Software. The reported values are the average of at least three independent assays. Sildenafil was used as the reference substance. The drugs were dissolved in DMSO at $10^{-3}$ M . The effect of the solvent was taken into consideration in the calculations.

Supporting Information Available: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data for derivatives $\mathbf{2 b}, \mathbf{d}-\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{3 b}, \mathbf{d}-\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{4 b}, \mathbf{e}-\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{c}-\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{t}$, and $\mathbf{7 b}, \mathbf{d}$ and elemental analysis results for all target compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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